This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/845,947	04/30/2001		Jack T. Burnett	066101.0198	3321
7590 08/05/2004				EXAMINER	
Robert W. Ho			PHILLIPS, HASSAN A		
Baker Botts L. L. P. Suite 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001 Ross Ave			2151		
Dallas, TX 75201-2980				DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		- locs
t	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summers	09/845,947	BURNETT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hassan Phillips	2151
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tte, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	August 2001.	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objue drawing(s) be held in abeyaction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

- 1. The spacing of the lines of the specification is such as to make reading and entry of amendments difficult. New application papers with lines double spaced on good quality paper are required.
- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: There is a minor grammatical error in line 11. The examiner feels the word "more" should be placed between the words "or" and "content" in line 11. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/845,947 Page 3

Art Unit: 2151

(e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 2. Claims 1-5, 7, 10-17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Simonoff, U.S. patent 6,463,460.
- 3. In considering claims 1, 7, Simonoff teaches a system and method for presenting a virtual event through a network interfaced with the Internet, the system comprising:
 - a) An ASP administration module having authority to grant plural organizations access to the network, (col. 8, lines 26-44);
 - b) An organization administration module associated with each organization,
 the organization administration module having authority to create plural
 virtual events, (col. 25, lines 3-13);
 - c) An event champion module associated with each virtual event and operable to establish a virtual event architecture that accepts content for the virtual event from contributors, (col. 9, lines 65-67, col. 10, lines 1-11); and
 - d) A contributor module interfaced with the network and operable to upload content from plural predetermined contributors to a virtual event based

Art Unit: 2151

upon authority granted to the contributor from the event champion module, (col. 11, lines 24-43).

- 4. In considering claim 2, Simonoff further teaches an attendee module associated with each virtual event, the attendee module operable to authorize attendees to view virtual event content. See col. 18, lines 25-31.
- 5. In considering claim 3, it is inherent in the teachings of Simonoff that a member system module establishes membership for users to interface with the network. See col. 14, lines 64-67, col. 15, lines 1-18.
- 6. In considering claim 4, it is inherent in the teachings of Simonoff that the attendee module interfaces users with the member system module if the users are not members of the network. See col. 14, lines 64-67, col. 15, lines 1-18.
- 7. In considering claim 5, Simonoff teaches a rapid event generator associated with the event champion module, the rapid event generator operable to present an event champion with one or more templates that define the architecture of a virtual event. See col. 6, lines 1-67, col. 7, lines 1-6.
- 8. In considering claim 10, Simonoff teaches identifying one or more sponsors of the virtual event. See col. 17, lines 22-38.

Art Unit: 2151

9. In considering claim 11, Simonoff teaches identifying one or more exhibitors of the virtual event. See col. 17, lines 22-38.

- 10. In considering claim 12, Simonoff teaches:
 - a) Previewing by the event champion of content loaded to the virtual event, (col. 9, lines 65-67, col. 10, lines 1-11); and

Page 5

- b) Authorizing the previewed content by the event champion for presentation in the virtual event, (col. 18, lines 25-31).
- 11. In considering claim 13, Simonoff teaches the content comprising a video presentation. See col. 6, lines 1-11.
- 12.In considering claim 14, Simonoff teaches a system for presenting a virtual event through a network interfaced with the Internet, the system comprising:
 - a) A rapid event generator accessible by an event champion and operable to define an architecture of the virtual event based on authorizations of a network administrator for an organization to access the network and an organization administrator associated with the authorized organization for the event champion to create the virtual event, (col. 6, lines 1-67, col. 7, lines 1-6).

Art Unit: 2151

13. In considering claim 15, Simonoff teaches a content contributor module associated with the network and operable to upload predetermined content authorized by the event champion. See col. 11, lines 24-43.

14. In considering claim 16, it is inherent in the teachings of Simonoff that the organization administrator module authorizes a virtual event by creating an event instance. See col. 25, lines 3-13.

15. In considering claim 17, Simonoff teaches the rapid event generator comprising an object operable to accept the event instance created by the organization administrator and further operable to generate a virtual event object from the event instance and data provided by the event champion. See col. 6, lines 1-67, col. 7, lines 1-6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6, 8, 9, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simonoff in view of Estrada et al. (hereinafter Estrada), U.S. patent 6,732,148.

Art Unit: 2151

3. In considering claim 6, although the disclosed invention of Simonoff teaches substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to expressly show:

a) Defining the number of attendees, content type, and duration of the virtual event.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor, Estrada teaches a system for collaboration space object modeling comprising:

a) An object defining the number of members of a group, content type, and the duration of a virtual event, (col. 11, lines 64-67, col. 12, lines 1-14. Also see col. 32, lines 21-32).

Thus, given the teachings of Estrada, it would have been apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Simonoff to show the rapid event generator defining the number of attendees, the type of content, and the duration of the virtual event. This would have given the attendees more insight to how many other attendees are interested in the same type content, what exactly the content is, and how long an event will take place, Estrada, col. 5, lines 51-67, col. 6, lines 1-9.

- 4. In considering claim 8, Simonoff further teaches:
- a) Authorizing predetermined attendees to access the virtual event through the Internet, (col. 18, lines 25-31).

Although the disclosed invention of Simonoff teaches substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to expressly show:

Art Unit: 2151

b) Accessing the event during a predetermined time period.

Nevertheless, accessing events during predetermined time periods were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. Estrada exemplifies this in a system comprising:

b) Accessing an object in a calendar during a predetermined time period, (col. 32, lines 21-32).

Thus, given the teachings of Estrada, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Simonoff to show accessing the event during a predetermined time period. This would have given multiple attendees the chance to view the event at the specified predetermined time period, and thus would have given all attendees the same opportunity to perform a function in response to the event, Estrada, col. 5, lines 51-67, col. 6, lines 1-9.

5. In considering claim 9, it is implicit in the teachings of Simonoff that membership to a network is established for an attendee before authorizing access by that attendee to the virtual event. See col. 14, lines 64-67, col. 15, lines 1-18.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Simonoff, U.S. Patent 6,463,460 discloses an interactive communication system for collaboration between multiple users.

Art Unit: 2151

Page 9

Estrada et al., U.S. Patent 6,732,148 discloses a system for collaboration space object modeling.

Sonnenreich et al., U.S. Patent 5,974,446 discloses a system for distance learning.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hassan Phillips whose telephone number is (703) 305-8760. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (703) 308-6687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HP/ 7/26/04 ZARNI MAUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER